

ISSN 2079-7141

UAEU Faculty of Business
and Economics



UAEU-FBE-Working Paper Series

**Title: On the Calculation of Price Sensitivities with
Jump-Diffusion Structure**

Author(s): Youssef El-Khatib and Abdunasser Hatemi-J

Department: Economics and Finance

No. 2012-02

Series Founding and Acting Editor: Prof. Dr. Abdunasser Hatemi-J

Copyright © 2012 by the UAE University. All rights reserved. No part of this paper may be reproduced in any form, or stored in a retrieval system, without prior permission of the authors.

The views and conclusions expressed in this working paper are strictly those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent, and should not be reported as, those of the FBE/UAEU. The FBE and the editor take no responsibility for any errors, omissions in, or for the correctness of, the information contained in this working paper.

On the Calculation of Price Sensitivities with Jump-Diffusion Structure

Youssef El-Khatib* Abdunasser Hatemi-J[†]

Abstract

An integral part of successful financial risk management is the accurate calculation of the price sensitivities. There are a number of recent research papers that have focused on this issue. A strand of literature applied the finite difference method which is biased. Another strand of literature makes use of the the Malliavin calculus within a jump diffusion framework. However, these papers provide the price sensitivities by conditioning on some of the stochastic part of the process. The current paper provides price sensitivities in jump diffusion model without conditioning on any stochastic part in the model. These estimates are shown to be unbiased. Thus, the solution that is provided in this paper is expected to induce decision making under uncertainty more precise.

Keywords: Asset Pricing, Malliavin Calculus, Price sensitivity, Risk management, European options, Jump-diffusion models, Jump-times Poisson noise.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 91B24, 91G20, 60J60, 60H07.

JEL Classification: G12, G10, C60.

1 Introduction

The calculation of price sensitivities is necessary input for successful financial risk management. It is widely agreed in the literature that the modeling of financial derivatives is more precise if the process of generating the future price of the underlying asset is modeled as a jump-diffusion process [‡]. Several recent papers deal with

*UAE University, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Al-Ain, P.O. Box 17551. United Arab Emirates. E-mail : Youssef_Elkhatab@uaeu.ac.ae.

[†]UAE University, Department of Economics and Finance, Al-Ain, P.O. Box 17555, United Arab Emirates. E-mail : Ahatemi@uaeu.ac.ae.

[‡]One of the most applied models for option pricing is the Black and Scholes (1973) formula. However, the Black and Scholes model suffers from the continuity of the Brownian motion and thus from the exclusion of jumps.

this issue based on a jump-diffusion formula that generates the underlying asset price via a Brownian motion and a Poisson process jointly. However, the calculations of the price sensitivities are provided by conditioning on either the Brownian motion or the Poisson process. Thus, there is scope for further research on this important topic by providing unconditional calculations. The main contribution of the current paper is therefore to provide an approach for the calculation of the price sensitivities when the underlying asset price is generated by both a Brownian motion and a Poisson process simultaneously, i.e. a jump-diffusion model. These calculations are provided via the Malliavin calculus without conditioning on any random part in the jump-diffusion process. The crucial advantage of the Malliavin calculus is that it provides unbiased estimators compared to the commonly used finite difference approach that usually results in biased estimators. Consequently, the solution that is provided in this paper is expected to improve on the correctness of the calculations of the underlying price sensitivities for successful decision making under uncertainty. The suggested method can be used for the computation of the price sensitivities when the stochastic process describing the stock's price includes jumps. There are five price sensitivities of a trading position that are usually denoted as "Greeks" in the financial literature. The importance of a precise calculation of these Greeks is paramount pertinent to immunization of underlying risk. The change of the trading position with regard to the price of the underlying asset is called Delta. The change of the delta for a portfolio of options with regard to the price of the underlying asset is known as Gamma. Another source of risk in this context is signified as Vega, which represents the sensitivity of the trading position with regard to a change in the volatility of the underlying asset. The change of the portfolio with regard to time under the ceteris paribus condition is known as Theta. Finally, the sensitivity of the trading position with regard to the interest rate is known as Rho in the literature. Each Greek measures a source of risk for the underlying trading position. Traders need to calculate their Greeks at the end of every trading day in order to take necessary action if the internal risk exceeds the prespecified levels in the underlying financial institution

that the trader is linked to, in order to avoid dismissal. We utilize the Malliavin calculus to provide an accurate and operational solution for each price sensitivity. This approach is particularly useful since the price of the option is characterized by a stochastic structure that cannot be given in closed form. Therefore, the study of price sensitivities via this approach is very important in this context. Via the Malliavin calculus we can transform the differentiation into integration and thereby make the calculation of the unbiased price sensitivities operational. Most previous work on the price sensitivities makes use of the finite difference method, which can be an biased approach. However, the Malliavin method is unbiased and it is also less time consuming in terms of convergence. There has been some work done on this issue using the Malliavin method. The main contribution of this paper is to extend the Malliavin approach to calculating the price sensitivities when the price of the underlying asset follows a jump-diffusion process. To ensure the market is arbitrage free, one should find a probability equivalent to the historical one under which the discounted prices are martingale, based on the first part of fundamental theorem of the asset pricing.

The application of the Malliavin calculus to the computations of price sensitivities was introduced by [FLLLT99] for markets with Brownian information. Their approach rests on the Malliavin derivative on the Wiener space and consists of two parts-namely the application of the chain rule and utilizing the fact that this derivative has an adjoint (Skorohod integral) which coincides with the Itô integral for adapted processes. Several papers employing this method have been developed for markets with jumps. For pure jump markets, [KP04] use the Poisson noise via the jump times, while [BBM07] differentiate w.r.t. both the jump times and the amplitude of the jumps. For jump-diffusion models, [DJ06] apply the Malliavin calculus w.r.t. the Brownian motion while conditioning on the Poisson component. [BM06] allow the Poisson noise to act on the amplitude of the jumps but not with regard to the timing of the jumps. Naturally, the timing of the jump is at least as important if not more in financial terms than the size of the jump. For example, the timing of

a financial crisis can be more important than the potential size of the crisis. However, in the previous work on price sensitivities there have not been any calculations that take into proper account both stochastic components simultaneously without conditioning on any part. In addition, we account for the timing of the jumps in the computations. These are the main contributions of current paper.

After this introduction the remaining part of the paper is organized as follows: Section two presents the the model. In Section three we apply the Malliavin calculus to derive the formula for computing the Greeks. The last Section concludes the paper. The appendix is devoted to Brownian and Poisson Malliavin derivatives.

2 The model

In this paper we apply the Malliavin calculus to compute Greeks for options with payoff $f(S_T)$ for discontinuous models. We consider a European option with maturity T . We assume that the underlying asset price is driven by a jump diffusion model. Let $B = (B_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ be a standard Brownian motion and $N = (N_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ denote a standard Poisson process. We work in a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . The market is incomplete, since there are infinitely many of P -Equivalent Martingale Measure (E.M.M.). A P -E.M.M. Q is characterized by its Radon-Nikodym density with respect to P given by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_T = & \exp \left(\int_0^T \alpha_s dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \alpha_s^2 ds + \int_0^T \ln(1 + \beta_s)(dN_s - ds) \right. \\ & \left. + \int_0^T (\ln(1 + \beta_s) - \beta_s) ds \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta > -1$ and by the equation

$$\mu_t - r_t + \alpha_t \sigma_t + \beta_t \sigma_t = 0.$$

Consider the two processes $W = (W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ and $M = (M_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ where for $t \in [0, T]$

$$W_t = B_t - \int_0^t \alpha_s ds \quad \text{and} \quad M_t = N_t - \int_0^t (1 + \beta_s) ds.$$

By Girsanov theorem [J79] W is a Q -Brownian motion and M is a Q -compensated Poisson process. The dynamic of $(S_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ under Q is

$$\frac{dS_t}{S_t} = r_t dt + \sigma_t [dW_t + dM_t], \quad t \in [0, T], \quad S_0 = x > 0,$$

which means

$$S_T = x \exp \left(\int_0^T \sigma_t dW_t + \int_0^T (r_t - \sigma_t(1 + \beta_t) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_t^2) ds \right) \times \prod_{k=1}^{k=N_T} (1 + \sigma_{T_k}),$$

where $(T_k)_{k \geq 1}$ denotes the jump times of $(N_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$. Let ζ be a parameter taking the values: $S_0 = x$, the volatility σ , or the interest rate r . Consider a standard Poisson process $N = (N_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ with jump times $(T_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and let H denote the Cameron-Martin space

$$H = \left\{ u = \int_0^\cdot \dot{u}_t dt : \dot{u} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \right\}.$$

For $u \in H$ and a smooth functional $F_n = f(T_1, \dots, T_n)$, $f \in \mathcal{C}_b^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $n \geq 1$ of the Poisson process, we let

$$D_u^N F_n := - \sum_{k=1}^{k=n} u_{T_k} \partial_k f(T_1, \dots, T_n).$$

Unfortunately, N_t does not belong to $\text{Dom}(D^N)$, i.e. the domain of D^N . Therefore, any underlying asset price $(S_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ formulated as

$$dS_t = \mu_t S_t dt + \sigma_t S_{t-} (dN_t - dt), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad S_0 = x > 0,$$

does not belong to $\text{Dom}(D^N)$. Nevertheless, for $T \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\int_0^T S_t dt \in \text{Dom}(D^N)$, since it can be written as

$$\int_0^T S_t dt = \sum_{k \geq 0} \int_{T_k \wedge T}^{T_{k+1} \wedge T} x e^{\int_0^t (\mu_t - \sigma_t) dt} \prod_{i=0}^{i=k} (1 + \sigma_{T_i}) dt.$$

In [KP04] only options with payoff of the form $f(\int_0^T S_t dt)$ were considered and those with payoff $f(S_T)$ were excluded. However, this paper can deal with both forms of the payoffs.

To show the point consider a smooth functional $F = \sum_{n=1}^{n=m} 1_{\{N_T=n\}} F_n$, $m \geq 1$, where $F_n := f(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}_b^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let

$$\tilde{D}_u^N F := \sum_{n=1}^{n=m} 1_{\{N_T=n\}} D_u^N F_n.$$

\tilde{D}^N is a derivative and it has an adjoint and satisfies the fact 2 (see Prop.4 and Prop.5 in the appendix). Moreover N_t belong to $\text{Dom}(\tilde{D}^N)$. In the following section we show how the Greeks can be calculated.

3 Computations of Greeks

In this section we compute the Greeks using Malliavin calculus for European options with maturity T and payoff $f(S_T)$. Let $C = E[f(S_T^\zeta)]$ be the price of the option. The computations of Greeks by the Malliavin approach rest on the integration by parts formula given in the following proposition[§].

Proposition 1 *Let I be an open interval of \mathbb{R} , $(F^\zeta)_{\zeta \in I}$ and $(G^\zeta)_{\zeta \in I}$ be two families of random functionals in $\text{Dom}(\tilde{D}^N) \cap \text{Dom}(D^W)$, continuously differentiable with respect to the parameter $\zeta \in I$. Let $(u_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ be a process satisfying*

$$(\tilde{D}_u^N + D_u^W)F^\zeta \neq 0, \quad \text{a.s. on } \{\partial_\zeta F^\zeta \neq 0\}, \quad \zeta \in I,$$

such that $uG^\zeta \partial_\zeta F^\zeta / (\tilde{D}_u^N + D_u^W)F^\zeta$ is continuous in ζ in $\text{Dom}(\delta^N) \cap \text{Dom}(\delta^W)$ and $\int_0^T \dot{u}_t dt = 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} E[G^\zeta f(F^\zeta)] &= E \left[f(F^\zeta) \left(\frac{G^\zeta \partial_\zeta F^\zeta}{(\tilde{D}_u^N + D_u^W)F^\zeta} \delta^N(u) - \tilde{D}_u^N \left(\frac{G^\zeta \partial_\zeta F^\zeta}{(\tilde{D}_u^N + D_u^W)F^\zeta} \right) \right) \right] \\ &+ E \left[f(F^\zeta) \left(\frac{G^\zeta \partial_\zeta F^\zeta}{(\tilde{D}_u^N + D_u^W)F^\zeta} \delta^W(u) - D_u^W \left(\frac{G^\zeta \partial_\zeta F^\zeta}{(\tilde{D}_u^N + D_u^W)F^\zeta} \right) \right) \right] + E[f(F^\zeta) \partial_\zeta G^\zeta], \end{aligned}$$

for any function f such that $f(F^\zeta) \in L^2(\Omega)$, $\zeta \in I$.

Proof. For function $f \in \mathcal{C}_b^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} E[G^\zeta f(F^\zeta)] = E[G^\zeta \partial_\zeta f(F^\zeta)] + E[f(F^\zeta) \partial_\zeta G^\zeta]$$

[§]See [FLLLT99] for the Brownian case and [KP04] for the Poisson case.

$$= E \left[G^\zeta \partial_\zeta F^\zeta \frac{(\tilde{D}_u^N + D_u^W) f(F^\zeta)}{(\tilde{D}_u^N + D_u^W) F^\zeta} \right] + E [f(F^\zeta) \partial_\zeta G^\zeta].$$

Then we conclude using Propositions 4 and 6 from the appendix A. The extension to $f(F^\zeta) \in L^2(\Omega)$ with $\zeta \in I$, can be obtained from the same argument as in p. 400 of [FLLLT99] and in [KP04] p. 167 for the Poisson case, using the bound

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} E [G^\zeta f_n(F^\zeta)] - E \left[f(F^\zeta) \left(V^\zeta (\delta^N(u) + \delta^W(u)) - (\tilde{D}_u^N + D_u^W) V^\zeta + \partial_\zeta G^\zeta \right) \right] \right| \\ & \leq \|f(F^\zeta) - f_n(F^\zeta)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \left\| V^\zeta (\delta^N(u) + \delta^W(u)) - (\tilde{D}_u^N + D_u^W) V^\zeta + \partial_\zeta G^\zeta \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

and an approximating sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of smooth functions, where $V^\zeta := G^\zeta \partial_\zeta F^\zeta / (\tilde{D}_u^N + D_u^W) F^\zeta$. \square

It is worth mentioning here that the Malliavin method is unbiased. Indeed, the Malliavin method provides a new representation of the sensitivities if $\Upsilon := \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} E [G^\zeta f(F^\zeta)]$ is one of the price sensitivities, and Υ_M is its value using Malliavin method then we have by Proposition. 1 $\Upsilon = \Upsilon_M$ and thus $E[\Upsilon_M] = E[\Upsilon]$. In the other hand, the Finite Differences method gives an approximation of the sensitivities and we have $E[\Upsilon_F] \neq E[\Upsilon]$.

Delta, Rho, Vega

Consider an option with payoff $f(F^\zeta)$. The Greeks Delta $:= \frac{\partial C}{\partial x}$, Rho $= \frac{\partial C}{\partial r}$ and Vega $= \frac{\partial C}{\partial \sigma}$ can be computed from Proposition 6 (presented in the appendix)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} E [f(F^\zeta)] = E \left[f(F^\zeta) L^\zeta (\delta^N(u) + \delta^W(u)) - (\tilde{D}_u^N + D_u^W) L^\zeta \right], \quad (3.1)$$

where we let $G^\zeta = 1$ and $L^\zeta := \frac{\partial_\zeta F^\zeta}{(\tilde{D}_u^N + D_u^W) F^\zeta}$. As an example we compute the delta[¶] of an European option using (3.1) with $\zeta = x$, $f(F^\zeta) = f(S_T)$, and $\partial_\zeta F^\zeta = \partial_x S_T = \frac{1}{x} S_T$. We have

$$\text{Delta} = \partial_x E \left[e^{-\int_t^T r_s ds} f(S_T) \right] = e^{-\int_t^T r_s ds} E \left[f(S_T) \left(L^x (\delta^N + \delta^W)(u) - (\tilde{D}_u^N + D_u^W) L^x \right) \right],$$

[¶]We can use the same techniques for Rho and Vega.

where

$$L^x = \frac{1}{x} \frac{S_T}{(\tilde{D}_u^N + D_u^W)S_T} = \frac{1}{x} \frac{1}{\int_0^T u_t \sigma_t dt - \int_0^T \frac{u_t \sigma'_t}{1+\sigma_t} dN_t}.$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} D_u^W L^x &= 0 \\ \tilde{D}_u^N L^x &= (L^x)^2 \tilde{D}_u^N \left(\int_0^T \frac{u_t \sigma'_t}{1+\sigma_t} dN_t \right) = -(L^x)^2 \left(\int_0^T u_t \partial_t \frac{u_t \sigma'_t}{1+\sigma_t} dN_t \right) \\ &= -(L^x)^2 \int_0^T \frac{u_t}{1+\sigma_t} \left((\sigma'_t u'_t + u_t \sigma''_t) - \frac{u_t (\sigma'_t)^2}{1+\sigma_t} \right) dN_t, \end{aligned}$$

here we supposed that $\sigma' \neq 0$.

We can use

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^N(u) &= \int_0^T \dot{u}_t dN_t = \int_0^T \dot{u}_t (dN_t - dt) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \dot{u}_{T_k}, \\ \delta^W(v) &= \int_0^T v_t dW_t = \sum_{j \geq 1} v_{t_{j-1}} (W_{t_j} - W_{t_{j-1}}), \end{aligned}$$

for $u \in H$ such that $\int_0^T \dot{u}_t dt = 0$ and v adapted.

Gamma

For computing the Gamma $= \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2}$, we let $H^x := L^x (\delta^N(u) + \delta^W(u)) - (\tilde{D}_u^N + D_u^W) L^x$.

By using (3.1) and Prop. 1 the following formula is obtained

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Gamma} &= e^{-\int_t^T r_s ds} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} E[f(F^x)] = e^{-\int_t^T r_s ds} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} E[f(F^x)] \right) = e^{-\int_t^T r_s ds} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} E[f(F^x) H^x] \\ &= e^{-\int_t^T r_s ds} \left\{ E \left[f(F^x) \left(\frac{H^x \partial_x F^x}{(\tilde{D}_u^N + D_u^W) F^x} \delta^N(u) - \tilde{D}_u^N \left(\frac{H^x \partial_x F^x}{(\tilde{D}_u^N + D_u^W) F^x} \right) \right) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + E \left[f(F^x) \left(\frac{H^x \partial_x F^x}{(\tilde{D}_u^N + D_u^W) F^x} \delta^W(u) - D_u^W \left(\frac{H^x \partial_x F^x}{(\tilde{D}_u^N + D_u^W) F^x} \right) \right) \right] + E[f(F^x) \partial_x H^x] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

4 Conclusions

Making use of options is a common practice in financial markets by investors and other financial agents for neutralizing or reducing the price risk of the underlying

asset. Thus, option pricing is an integral part of modern financial risk management. The accurate calculation of price sensitivities is vital input in financial risk management models. Previous literature suggests that modeling financial derivatives based on a jump-diffusion process for generating the future price of the underlying asset is more precise. Several recent papers attempt to tackle this problem based on a jump-diffusion model that consists of a Brownian motion component and a Poisson process component jointly. Nevertheless, the price sensitivities are calculated by conditioning on one of the stochastic parts, either the Brownian motion or the Poisson process. Using Malliavin calculus, this paper provides the calculation of the price sensitivities in a situation in which the underlying asset price is generated by both a Brownian motion and a Poisson process simultaneously, i.e. a jump-diffusion model without any conditioning on any random part in the jump-diffusion process. It is also shown that the Malliavin calculus provides unbiased estimators unlike the commonly used finite difference approach.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Professor Laurent Denis from University of Evry, Paris, France, for his useful comments. However, the usual disclaimer applies.

References

- [AOPU00] K. Aase, B. Øksendal, N. Privault, and J. Ubøe. White noise generalizations of the Clark-Haussmann-Ocone theorem with application to mathematical finance. *Finance and Stochastics*, 4(4) pages 465–496, 2000.
- [BBM07] V. Bally, M. Bavouzet, and M. Messaoud. Integration by parts formula for locally smooth laws and applications to sensitivity computations. *Annals of Applied probability*, 17(1), 33-66, 2007.

- [BM06] M. Bavouzet, and M. Messaoud. Computation of Greeks using Malliavin's calculus in jump type market models. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, 11, pages 276-300, 2006.
- [BS73] F. Black and M. Scholes. The Pricing of Options and Corporate Liabilities. *Journal of Political Economy*, 81, pages 637-654, 1973.
- [Bi83] J.M. Bismut. Calcul des variations stochastique et processus de sauts. *Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheories Verw. Gebiete*, 63:147–235, 1983.
- [CP90] E. Carlen and E. Pardoux. Differential calculus and integration by parts on Poisson space. In S. Albeverio, Ph. Blanchard, and D. Testard, editors, *Stochastics, Algebra and Analysis in Classical and Quantum Dynamics (Marseille, 1988)*, volume 59 of *Math. Appl.*, pages 63–73. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1990.
- [DJ06] M. Davis and M. Johansson. Malliavin Monte Carlo Greeks for jump diffusions. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 116, pages 1011-1029, 2006.
- [D00] L. Denis. A criterion of density for solutions of Poisson-driven SDEs. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields*, 118, pages 406–426, 2000.
- [KP04] Y. El-Khatib and N. Privault. Computations of Greeks in a market with jumps via the Malliavin calculus. *Finance and Stochastics*, 8, 2, PP. 161-179, 2004.
- [ET93] R.J. Elliott and A.H. Tsoi. Integration by parts for Poisson processes. *J. Multivariate Anal.*, 44(2), pages 179–190, 1993.
- [FLLLT99] E. Fournié, J.M. Lasry, J. Lebuchoux, P.L. Lions, and N. Touzi. Applications of Malliavin calculus to Monte Carlo methods in finance. *Finance and Stochastics*, 3(4), pages 391–412, 1999.
- [J79] Jacod, J. (1979): *Calcul stochastique et problèmes de martingales*. volume 714 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer Verlag.

- [KK10] R. Kawai and A. Kohatsu-Higa. Computation of Greeks and multidimensional density estimation for asset price models with time-changed Brownian motion. *Applied Mathematical Finance*, vol. 17, issue 4, pages 301-321, 2010.
- [KT10] R. Kawai and A. Takeuchi. Sensitivity analysis for averaged asset price dynamics with gamma processes. *Statistics and Probability Letters*, 80(1), pages 42-49, 2010.
- [Nu95] D. Nualart (1995): *The Malliavin Calculus and Related Topics*. Springer-Verlag.
- [NV90] D. Nualart and J. Vives. Anticipative calculus for the Poisson process based on the Fock space. In J. Azéma, P.A. Meyer, and M. Yor, editors, *Séminaire de Probabilités XXIV*, volume 1426 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pages 154–165. Springer Verlag, 1990.
- [Øks96] B. Øksendal. *An introduction to Malliavin calculus with applications to economics*. Working paper no. 3, Institute of Finance and Management Science, Norwegian School of Economics and Business Administration, 1996.
- [Pr94] N. Privault. Chaotic and variational calculus in discrete and continuous time for the Poisson process. *Stochastics and Stochastics Reports*, 51, pages 83–109, 1994.
- [Pr02] N. Privault. Distribution-valued gradient and chaotic decompositions of Poisson jump times functionals. *Publicacions Matemàtiques*, 46, pages 27–48, 2002.
- [Pr09] N. Privault. *Stochastic Analysis in discrete and Continuous settings: with normal martingales*. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 1982, 310 pages, Springer, 2009.

A Appendix

We give a brief presentation of the Malliavin derivative on the Wiener space and its adjoint. The new version of the Poisson gradient introduced in [Pr09] is also presented. The Poissonian operator is a derivative and it admits an adjoint which coincides with the Poissonian Itô integral for adapted processes. For more details about the Malliavin calculus we refer^{||} to [Øks96] and [Nu95] on the Wiener space and to [Bi83], [CP90], [D00], [ET93], [NV90], [Pr94] and [Pr09] on the Poisson space.

A.1 Malliavin derivative on the Wiener space

From now on, we fix a terminal time $T > 0$ and consider the Wiener space $C_0([0, T])$, the set of continuous functions on $[0, T]$ vanishing in 0.

Let $(D_t^W)_{t \in [0, T]}$ be the Malliavin derivative on the Wiener space. We denote by \mathbb{P} the set of random variables $F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that F has the representation

$$F(\omega) = f \left(\int_0^T f_1(t) dW_t, \dots, \int_0^T f_n(t) dW_t \right),$$

where $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$ is a polynomial in n variables x_1, \dots, x_n and deterministic functions $f_i \in L^2([0, T])$. Let $\|\cdot\|_{1,2}$ be the norm

$$\|F\|_{1,2} := \|F\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|D^W F\|_{L^2([0, T] \times \Omega)}, \quad F \in \text{Dom}(D^W).$$

We have $\mathbb{P} \subset \text{Dom}(D^W)$ and the following Proposition holds:

Proposition 2 *Given $F = f \left(\int_0^T f_1(t) dW_t, \dots, \int_0^T f_n(t) dW_t \right) \in \mathbb{P}$. We have*

$$D_t^W F = \sum_{k=1}^{k=n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k} \left(\int_0^T f_1(t) dW_t, \dots, \int_0^T f_n(t) dW_t \right) f_k(t).$$

From now on, for any stochastic process u and for $F \in \text{Dom}(D^W)$ such that $u \cdot D^W F \in L^2([0, T])$ we let

$$D_u^W F := \langle D^W F, u \rangle_{L^2([0, T])} := \int_0^T u_t D_t^W F dt.$$

^{||}The list is not exhaustive.

A.1.1 Skorohod integral

Let δ^W be the Skorohod integral on the Wiener space. The next Proposition is well known, it says that δ^W is the adjoint of D^W and is an extension of the Itô integral (see for example [Øks96]).

Proposition 3 *a) Let $u \in \text{Dom}(\delta^W)$ and $F \in \text{Dom}(D^W)$, we have*

$$E[F\delta^W(u)] = E[D_u^W F], \quad \text{for every } F \in \text{Dom}(D^W).$$

b) Consider a $L^2(\Omega \times [0, T])$ -adapted stochastic process $u = (u_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$. We have

$$\delta^W(u) = \int_0^T u_t dW_t.$$

c) Let $F \in \text{Dom}(D^W)$ and $u \in \text{Dom}(\delta^W)$ such that $uF \in \text{Dom}(\delta^W)$ thus

$$\delta^W(uF) = F\delta^W(u) - D_u^W F.$$

A.2 Poisson derivative

Let \mathcal{S} denote the set of smooth functionals

$$F = \sum_{n=1}^{n=m} 1_{\{N_T=n\}} F_n, \quad \text{where } F_n = f_n(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in \text{Dom}(D^N), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}^* = \{1, 2, \dots\},$$

and for $1 \leq n \leq m$, $f_n \in \mathcal{C}_b^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Definition 1 *Given an element u of the Cameron-Martin space H and $F \in \mathcal{S}$ as in the above, we define the gradient***

$$\tilde{D}_u^N F := \sum_{n=1}^{n=m} 1_{\{N_T=n\}} D_u^N F_n = \sum_{n=1}^{n=m} 1_{\{N_T=n\}} \left(- \sum_{k=1}^{k=n} u_{T_k} \partial_k f_n(T_1, \dots, T_n) \right). \quad (\text{A.1})$$

The next proposition shows that the gradient \tilde{D}^N is a derivative.

Proposition 4 *Consider $F = \sum_{n=1}^{n=m} 1_{\{N_T=n\}} F_n$ and $G = \sum_{n=1}^{n=m} 1_{\{N_T=n\}} G_n$ two smooth functionals in \mathcal{S} , where $F_n = f_n(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in \text{Dom}(D^N)$ and $G_n = G_n(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in \text{Dom}(D^N)$. We have*

$$\tilde{D}_u^N(FG) = F\tilde{D}_u^N G + G\tilde{D}_u^N F.$$

**see [Pr09], section 7.3.

Proof. We have

$$FG = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{n=m} 1_{\{N_T=n\}} F_n \right) \left(\sum_{l=1}^{l=m} 1_{\{N_T=l\}} G_l \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{n=m} 1_{\{N_T=n\}} F_n G_n.$$

Thanks to the chain rule of the gradient D^N , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}_u^N(FG) &= \sum_{n=1}^{n=m} 1_{\{N_T=n\}} F_n D_u^N G_n + \sum_{n=1}^{n=m} 1_{\{N_T=n\}} G_n D_u^N F_n \\ &= F \tilde{D}_u^N G + G \tilde{D}_u^N F. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 1 Let $\text{Dom}(\tilde{D}^N)$ be the domain of \tilde{D}^N .

1. $\text{Dom}(D^N) \subset \text{Dom}(\tilde{D}^N)$. In fact any $F \in \text{Dom}(D^N)$ can be written as $F = \sum_{n>0} 1_{\{N_T=n\}} F$. We have $\tilde{D}_u^N F = D_u^N F$.
2. $\text{Dom}(\tilde{D}^N)$ contains N_T and $\tilde{D}_u^N N_T = 0$, since $N_T = \sum_{n \geq 0} 1_{\{N_T=n\}} n$.

A.2.1 Adjoint

The following proposition gives the adjoint gradient for D^N , it is well-known, cf. e.g. [CP90], [Pr94], [Pr02].

Proposition 5 Consider $F \in \text{Dom}(D^N)$ and $u \in H$, we have

- a) The gradient D^N is closable and admits an adjoint δ^N such that

$$E[D_u^N F] = E[F \delta^N(u)].$$

- b) For $u \in \text{Dom}(\delta^N)$ such that $uF \in \text{Dom}(D^N)$ we have

$$\delta^N(uF) = F \delta^N(u) - D_u^N F.$$

- c) Moreover, δ^N coincides with the compensated Poisson stochastic integral on the adapted processes in $L^2(\Omega; H)$:

$$\delta^N(u) = \int_0^\infty \dot{u}_t (dN_t - dt).$$

To be able to use the Malliavin method for the computations of Greeks we need to show first the existence of an adjoint for \tilde{D}^N satisfying the properties of δ^N listed in Prop. 5. The relationship between \tilde{D}^N and D^N will be very helpful. In fact, we have δ^N is the adjoint of \tilde{D}^N as it is shown in the following proposition ^{††}.

Proposition 6 *With previous notations:*

a) \tilde{D}^N is closable and admits δ^N as adjoint. Moreover, if $F = \sum_{n=1}^{n=m} 1_{\{N_T=n\}} F_n$ in \mathcal{S} with $F_n = f_n(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in \text{Dom}(D^N)$ and $u \in H$ such that $\int_0^T \dot{u}_t dt = 0$ then

$$E[\tilde{D}_u^N F] = E[F \delta^N(u)].$$

b) For $F, G \in \text{Dom}(\tilde{D}^N)$ and $u \in \text{Dom}(\delta^N)$ with $\int_0^T \dot{u}_t dt = 0$:

$$E[G \tilde{D}_u^N F] = E[F(G \delta^N(u) - \tilde{D}_u^N G)].$$

Proof of the Prop. 6

First, we need the following lemma

Lemma 1 *Consider $u \in H$ such that $\int_0^T \dot{u}_t dt = 0$ and a smooth functional $f(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in \text{Dom}(D^N)$, we have*

$$E[D_u^N f(T_1, \dots, T_n) | N_T = n] = E[f(T_1, \dots, T_n) \delta^N(u) | N_T = n].$$

Proof of the Lemma 1.

Proof. Let $u \in H$ such that $\int_0^T \dot{u}_t dt = 0$. We follow [Pr02], Lemma 1. We consider the simplex $\Delta_n = \{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in [0, T]^n : 0 \leq t_1 < \dots < t_n\}$. We have for $f \in L^2(\Delta_n, dt_1, \dots, dt_n)$,

$$E[f(T_1, \dots, T_n) | N_T = n] = \frac{n!}{T^n} \int_0^T \int_0^{t_1} \dots \int_0^{t_{n-1}} f(t_1, \dots, t_n) dt_1 \dots dt_n.$$

And

$$E[D_u^N f(T_1, \dots, T_n) | N_T = n] = - \sum_{k=1}^{k=n} I_k,$$

where

$$I_k := \frac{n!}{T^n} \int_0^T \int_0^{t_1} \dots \int_0^{t_{k-1}} u_{t_k} \partial_k f(t_1, \dots, t_n) dt_1 \dots dt_n.$$

^{††}The proof of this proposition can be found in [Pr09]: Section 7.3. However another proof is provided here with the condition $\int_0^T \dot{u}_t dt = 0$.

k=1 We have by integration by parts

$$\int_0^{t_2} u_{t_1} \partial_1 f(t_1, \dots, t_n) dt_1 = - \int_0^{t_2} \dot{u}_{t_1} f(t_1, \dots, t_n) dt_1 + u_{t_2} f(t_2, t_2, \dots, t_n).$$

Thus

$$I_1 = A_1 + B_2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &:= -\frac{n!}{T^n} \int_0^T \int_0^{t_n} \cdots \int_0^{t_2} \dot{u}_{t_1} f(t_1, \dots, t_n) dt_1 \cdots dt_n \\ B_2 &:= \frac{n!}{T^n} \int_0^T \int_0^{t_n} \cdots \int_0^{t_3} u_{t_2} f(t_2, t_2, \dots, t_n) dt_2 \cdots dt_n. \end{aligned}$$

k=2 We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{t_3} u_{t_2} \int_0^{t_2} \partial_2 f(t_1, \dots, t_n) dt_1 dt_2 \\ &= \int_0^{t_3} u_{t_2} \partial_2 \int_0^{t_2} f(t_1, \dots, t_n) dt_1 dt_2 - \int_0^{t_3} u_{t_2} f(t_2, t_2, \dots, t_n) dt_2 \\ &= - \int_0^{t_3} \dot{u}_{t_2} \int_0^{t_2} f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) dt_1 dt_2 + u_{t_3} \int_0^{t_3} f(t_1, t_3, t_3, t_4, \dots, t_n) dt_1 \\ &\quad - \int_0^{t_3} u_{t_2} f(t_2, t_2, \dots, t_n) dt_2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$I_2 = A_2 - B_2 + B_3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &:= -\frac{n!}{T^n} \int_0^T \int_0^{t_n} \cdots \int_0^{t_3} \dot{u}_{t_2} \int_0^{t_2} f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) dt_1 \cdots dt_n \\ B_3 &:= \frac{n!}{T^n} \int_0^T \int_0^{t_n} \cdots \int_0^{t_4} u_{t_3} \int_0^{t_3} f(t_1, t_3, t_3, t_4, \dots, t_n) dt_1 dt_3 \cdots dt_n. \end{aligned}$$

By using the same argument of the above, for any $k \in \{3, \dots, n-1\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{t_{k+1}} u_{t_k} \left(\int_0^{t_k} \cdots \int_0^{t_2} \partial_k f(t_1, \dots, t_n) dt_1 \cdots dt_{k-1} \right) dt_k \\ &= \int_0^{t_{k+1}} u_{t_k} \partial_k \left(\int_0^{t_k} \cdots \int_0^{t_2} f(t_1, \dots, t_n) dt_1 \cdots dt_{k-1} \right) dt_k \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_0^{t_{k+1}} u_{t_k} \int_0^{t_k} \int_0^{t_{k-2}} \cdots \int_0^{t_2} f(t_1, \dots, t_{k-2}, t_k, t_k, \dots, t_n) dt_1 \cdots dt_{k-2} dt_k \\
= & - \int_0^{t_{k+1}} \dot{u}_{t_k} \int_0^{t_k} \cdots \int_0^{t_2} f(t_1, \dots, t_n) dt_1 \cdots dt_k \\
& + \int_0^{t_{k+2}} u_{t_{k+1}} \int_0^{t_{k+1}} \int_0^{t_{k-1}} \cdots \int_0^{t_2} f(t_1, \cdot, t_{k-1}, t_{k+1}, t_{k+1}, \cdot, t_n) dt_1 \cdot dt_{k-1} dt_{k+1} \cdot dt_n \\
& - \int_0^{t_{k+1}} u_{t_k} \int_0^{t_k} \int_0^{t_{k-2}} \cdots \int_0^{t_2} f(t_1, \dots, t_{k-2}, t_k, t_k, \dots, t_n) dt_1 \cdots dt_{k-2} dt_k.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus for $k \in \{3, \dots, n-1\}$, we have

$$I_k = A_k - B_k + B_{k+1},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A_k & := -\frac{n!}{T^n} \int_0^T \int_0^{t_n} \cdots \int_0^{t_{k+1}} \dot{u}_{t_k} \int_0^{t_k} \cdots \int_0^{t_2} f(t_1, \dots, t_n) dt_1 \cdots dt_n, \\
B_k & := \frac{n!}{T^n} \int_0^T \int_0^{t_n} \cdots \int_0^{t_{k+1}} u_{t_k} \int_0^{t_k} \int_0^{t_{k-2}} \cdots \int_0^{t_2} f(t_1, \cdot, t_{k-2}, t_k, t_k, \cdot, t_n) dt_1 \cdot \hat{d}t_{k-1} \cdot dt_n,
\end{aligned}$$

$\hat{d}t_k$ denotes the absence of dt_k .

k=n Let

$$\begin{aligned}
A_n & := -\frac{n!}{T^n} \int_0^T \dot{u}_{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} \cdots \int_0^{t_k} \cdots \int_0^{t_2} f(t_1, \dots, t_n) dt_1 \cdots dt_n, \\
B_n & := \frac{n!}{T^n} \int_0^T u_{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} \int_0^{t_{n-2}} \cdots \int_0^{t_2} f(t_1, \dots, t_{n-2}, t_n, t_n) dt_1 \cdots \hat{d}t_{n-1} dt_n,
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_n & = \frac{n!}{T^n} \int_0^T u_{t_n} \int_0^{t_n} \cdots \int_0^{t_2} \partial_n f(t_1, \dots, t_n) dt_1 \cdots dt_n \\
& = \frac{n!}{T^n} \int_0^T u_{t_n} \partial_n \int_0^{t_n} \int_0^{t_{n-1}} \cdots \int_0^{t_2} f(t_1, \dots, t_n) dt_1 \cdots dt_n - B_n \\
& = A_n + \frac{n!}{T^n} u_T \int_0^T \int_0^{t_{n-1}} \cdots \int_0^{t_2} f(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, T) dt_1 \cdots dt_{n-1} - B_n \\
& = A_n - B_n,
\end{aligned}$$

since $\int_0^T \dot{u}_t dt = 0$.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=1}^{k=n} I_k &= (A_1 + B_2) + (A_2 - B_2 + B_3) + \sum_{k=3}^{k=n-1} (A_k - B_k + B_{k+1}) + A_n - B_n \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{k=n} A_k.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}E[D_u^N f(T_1, \dots, T_n) \mid N_T = n] &= - \sum_{k=1}^{k=n} I_k = - \sum_{k=1}^{k=n} A_k \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{k=n} \frac{n!}{T^n} \int_0^T \int_0^{t_n} \dots \int_0^{t_2} \dot{u}_{t_k} f(t_1, \dots, t_n) dt_1 \dots dt_n \\ &= E \left[f(T_1, \dots, T_n) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{k=n} \dot{u}_{T_k} \right) \mid N_T = n \right].\end{aligned}$$

Now to show that

$$E \left[f(T_1, \dots, T_n) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{k=n} \dot{u}_{T_k} \right) \mid N_T = n \right] = E [f(T_1, \dots, T_n) \delta^N(u) \mid N_T = n].$$

it is sufficient to prove

$$E \left[f(T_1, \dots, T_n) \left(\sum_{k>n} \dot{u}_{T_k} - \int_{T_n}^{\infty} \dot{u}_t dt \right) \mid N_T = n \right] = 0,$$

since $\int_0^T \dot{u}_t dt = 0$. Recall that for $k > n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}E [f(T_1, \dots, T_n, \dots, T_k) \mid N_T = n] &= \\ \frac{n!}{T^n} e^{-T} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t_k} \int_0^{t_k} \dots \int_0^{t_{n+1}} \int_0^{t_n} \dots \int_0^{t_2} f(t_1, \dots, t_n, \dots, t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore for $k > n$

$$\begin{aligned}E[F \dot{u}_{T_k} \mid N_T = n] &= \frac{n!}{T^n} e^{-T} \int_0^{\infty} \dot{u}_{t_k} e^{-t_k} \int_0^{t_k} \dots \int_0^{t_n} \dots \int_0^{t_2} f(t_1, \dots, t_n) dt_1 \dots dt_k \\ &= \frac{n!}{T^n} e^{-T} \int_0^{\infty} u_{t_k} e^{-t_k} \int_0^{t_k} \dots \int_0^{t_n} \dots \int_0^{t_2} f(t_1, \dots, t_n) dt_1 \dots dt_k \\ &\quad - \frac{n!}{T^n} e^{-T} \int_0^{\infty} u_{t_{k-1}} e^{-t_{k-1}} \int_0^{t_{k-1}} \dots \int_0^{t_n} \dots \int_0^{t_2} f(t_1, \dots, t_n) dt_1 \dots dt_{k-1} \\ &= E[F(u_{T_k} - u_{T_{k-1}}) \mid N_T = n]\end{aligned}$$

$$= E \left[F \int_{T_{k-1}}^{T_k} \dot{u}_t dt \mid N_T = n \right].$$

Then

$$E[D_u^N f(T_1, \dots, T_n) \mid N_T = n] = E \left[f(T_1, \dots, T_n) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \dot{u}_{T_k} - \int_{T_n}^{\infty} \dot{u}_t dt \right) \mid N_T = n \right].$$

□

Now we can give the proof of Prop. 6.

Proof. a) We have using Lemma. 1 for any $u \in H$ such that $\int_0^T \dot{u}_t dt = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} E[1_{\{N_T=n\}} D_u^N F_n] &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E[1_{\{N_T=n\}} D_u^N F_n \mid N_T = i] P(N_T = i) \\ &= 1_{\{N_T=n\}} E[D_u^N F_n \mid N_T = n] P(N_T = n) \\ &= E[1_{\{N_T=n\}} F_n \delta^N(u) \mid N_T = n] P(N_T = n) \\ &= E[1_{\{N_T=n\}} F_n \delta^N(u)]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} E[\tilde{D}_u^N F] &= E\left[\sum_{n=1}^{n=m} 1_{\{N_T=n\}} D_u^N F_n\right] \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{n=m} E[1_{\{N_T=n\}} F_n \delta^N(u)] = E[F \delta^N(u)]. \end{aligned}$$

b) Using the chain rule of \tilde{D}^N and a) we obtain

$$E[G \tilde{D}_u^N F] = E[\tilde{D}_u^N (FG) - F \tilde{D}_u^N G] = E[F(G \delta^N(u) - \tilde{D}_u^N G)].$$

□